

# **SCRUTINY BOARD (ENVIRONMENT AND NEIGHBOURHOODS)**

Meeting to be held in Civic Hall, Leeds on Tuesday, 29th December, 2009 at 10.00 am

## **MEMBERSHIP**

#### Councillors

B Anderson (Chair) -Adel and

Wharfedale;

Farnley and Wortley; A Blackburn -

A Castle -Harewood;

R Downes -Otley and Yeadon;

J Dowson -Chapel Allerton;

Burmantofts and D Hollingsworth -

Richmond Hill;

K Hussain -Hyde Park and

Woodhouse:

G Hyde -Killingbeck and

Seacroft:

J Jarosz -Pudsey;

Calverley and J Marjoram -

Farsley;

L Mulherin -Ardsley and Robin

Hood:

M Rafique -Chapel Allerton;

Please note: Certain or all items on this agenda may be recorded on tape

Agenda compiled by: **Maria Lipzith Governance Services** Civic Hall **LEEDS LS1 1UR** 

Tel: 24 74353

**Principal Scrutiny Adviser: Angela Brogden** Tel: 24 74553

# AGENDA

ltem No	Ward/Equal Opportunities	Item Not Open		Page No
1			APPEALS AGAINST REFUSAL OF INSPECTION OF DOCUMENTS	
			To consider any appeals in accordance with Procedure Rule 25 of the Access to Information Procedure Rules (in the event of an Appeal the press and public will be excluded.)	
			(*In accordance with Procedure Rule 25, written notice of an appeal must be received by the Chief Democratic Services Officer at least 24 hours before the meeting)	
2			EXEMPT INFORMATION - POSSIBLE EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC	
			To highlight reports or appendices which officers have identified as containing exempt information, and where officers consider that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information, for the reasons outlined in the report.	
			2 To consider whether or not to accept the officers recommendation in respect of the above information.	
			3 If so, to formally pass the following resolution:-	
			RESOLVED – That the press and public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following parts of the agenda designated as containing exempt information on the grounds that it is likely, in view of the nature of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceedings, that if members of the press and public were present there would be disclosure to them of exempt information, as follows:-	

Item No	Ward/Equal Opportunities	Item Not Open		Page No
3			LATE ITEMS	
			To identify items which have been admitted to the agenda by the Chair for consideration.	
			(The special circumstances shall be specified in the minutes.)	
4			DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
			To declare any personal / prejudicial interests for the purpose of Section 81 (3) of the Local Government Act 2000 and paragraphs 8 to 12 of the Members Code of Conduct.	
5			APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
			To receive any apologies for absence.	
6			CALL-IN OF DECISION - BRIEFING PAPER	1 - 4
			To consider a report of the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development.	
7	All Wards;		REVIEW OF DECISION - 2009/2010 WELL- BEING FUND - RADIO FEVER - APPROVAL OF £8,950 REVENUE FUNDING	5 - 30
			In accordance with the Scrutiny Procedure Rules, to review the decision which has been Called-In and to determine what further action it wishes to take.	
8			OUTCOME OF CALL-IN	
			In accordance with the Scrutiny Procedure Rules, to consider the Board's formal conclusions and recommendation(s) arising from consideration of the Called-In decision.	



# Agenda Item 6



Originator: Angela Brogden

Tel: 247 4553

Report of the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development

**Scrutiny Board (Environment and Neighbourhoods)** 

Date: 29<sup>th</sup> December 2009

Subject: CALL IN OF DECISION – BRIEFING PAPER

Electoral Wards Affected:	Specific Implications For:
	Equality and Diversity
	Community Cohesion
	Narrowing the Gap

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 In accordance with the Council's Constitution, an Executive Decision taken by an Area Committee has been Called In.<sup>1</sup> The background papers to this particular decision are set out as a separate agenda item and appropriate witnesses have been invited to give supporting evidence.
- 1.2 This report advises the Scrutiny Board on the procedural aspects of Calling In the decision.
- 1.3 The Board is advised that the Call In is specific to the report considered by the Area Committee and issues outside of this decision, including other related decisions, may not be considered as part of the Board's decision regarding the outcome of the Call In.

# 2.0 REVIEWING THE DECISION

- 2.1 The process of reviewing the decision is as follows:
  - Members who have requested the Call In invited to explain their concern/reason for Call In request.
  - Relevant Officer(s)/Member(s) asked to explain decision.
  - Further questioning from the Board as appropriate.

Page 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Scrutiny Board Procedure Rules Paragraph 22

2.2 Members are reminded that it is only the decision Called In that the Board can make any recommendation on.

#### OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD

- 3.1 Having reviewed the decision, the Scrutiny Board will need to agree what action it wishes to take. In doing so, it may pursue one of three courses of action as set out below:
  - Option 1- Release the decision for implementation
- 3.2 Having reviewed this decision, the Scrutiny Board may decide to release it for implementation. If the Scrutiny Board chooses this option, the decision will be immediately released for implementation and the decision may not be Called In again.
  - Option 2 Recommend that the decision be reconsidered.
- 3.3 The Scrutiny Board may decide to recommend to the Area Committee that the decision be reconsidered. If the Scrutiny Board chooses this option a report will be submitted to the Area Committee for reconsideration.
  - Option 3 Recommend that the decision be reconsidered and refer the matter to full Council if recommendation not accepted.
- 3.4 This course of action would only apply if the Scrutiny Board determined that a decision **fell outside the Council's Budget and Policy Framework** and this determination were confirmed by the Council's Section 151 Officer (in relation to the budget) or Monitoring Officer (in relation to other policies).
- 3.5 If, at the conclusion of this meeting, the Scrutiny Board forms an initial determination that the decision in question should be challenged on the basis of contravening the Budget and Policy Framework, then confirmation will subsequently be sought from the appropriate statutory officer.
- 3.6 Should the statutory officer support the Scrutiny Board's determination, then the report of the Scrutiny Board will be presented in the same manner as for Option 2. If the decision maker accepts the recommendation of the Scrutiny Board in these circumstances, then the revised decision will be published in the same manner as for Option 2 and the decision may not be Called In again. If, however, the decision maker does not accept the recommendation of the Scrutiny Board, then the matter will be referred to full Council for final decision. Decisions of full Council may not be Called In.
- 3.7 Should the appropriate statutory officer not confirm that the decision contravenes the Budget and Policy Framework, then the report of the Scrutiny Board would normally be progressed as for Option 2 (i.e. presented as a recommendation to the decision taker) but with no recourse to full Council in the event that the decision is not varied. As with Option 2, no further Call In of the decision would be possible.
- 3.8 However, the Scrutiny Board may resolve that, if the statutory officer does not confirm contravention of the Budget and Policy Framework, then it should be released for implementation in accordance with Option 1.

## 4.0 FAILURE TO AGREE ONE OF THE ABOVE OPTIONS

4.1 If the Scrutiny Board, for any reason, does not agree one of the above courses of action at this meeting, then Option 1 will be adopted by default, i.e. the decision will be released for implementation with no further recourse to Call In.

## 5.0 FORMULATING THE BOARD'S REPORT

- 5.1 If the Scrutiny Board decides to release the decision for implementation (i.e. Option 1), then the Scrutiny Support Unit will process the necessary notifications and no further action is required by the Board.
- 5.2 If the Scrutiny Board wishes to recommend that the decision be reconsidered (i.e. Options 2 or 3), then it will be necessary for the Scrutiny Board to agree a report setting out its recommendation together with any supporting commentary.
- 5.3 Because of the tight timescales within which a decision Call In must operate, it is important that the Scrutiny Board's report be agreed at the meeting.
- 5.4 If the Scrutiny Board decides to pursue either of Options 2 or 3, it is proposed that there be a short adjournment during which the Chair, in conjunction with the Scrutiny Support Unit, should prepare a brief statement proposing the Scrutiny Board's draft recommendations and supporting commentary. Upon reconvening, the Scrutiny Board will be invited to amend/ agree this statement as appropriate (a separate item has been included in the agenda for this purpose).
- 5.5 This statement will then form the basis of the Scrutiny Board's report (together with factual information as to details of the Called In decision, lists of evidence/witnesses considered, Members involved in the Call In process etc).
- 5.6 The Scrutiny Board is advised that the there is no provision within the Call In procedure for the submission of a Minority Report.

## 6.0 RECOMMENDATION

6.1 The Scrutiny Board is asked to note the contents of this report and to adopt the procedure as detailed within it.

## **Background Papers**

None

This page is intentionally left blank

# Leeds

# Agenda Item 7

Originator: Angela Brogden

Tel: 247 4553

Report of the Head of Scruting	y and Member Develop	ment
--------------------------------	----------------------	------

**Scrutiny Board (Environment and Neighbourhoods)** 

Date: 29<sup>th</sup> December 2009

Subject: Call In - Review of Decision - 2009/10 Well-being Fund. Radio Fever -

approval of £8,950 revenue funding.

Electoral Wards Affected: ALL	Specific Implications For:
	Equality and Diversity
	Community Cohesion
	Narrowing the Gap

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1.1 This paper presents the background papers to a decision which has been Called In in accordance with the Council's Constitution.<sup>1</sup>
- 1.2 Papers are attached as follows:
  - Copy of completed Call In request form
  - Report of the East North East Area Manager on the Well-Being Budget
  - Notice of Decisions (7<sup>th</sup> December 2009)
- 1.3 Appropriate Members and/or officers have been invited to attend the meeting in order to explain the decision and respond to questions.

## 2.0 RECOMMENDATION

2.1 The Scrutiny Board (Environment and Neighbourhoods) is asked to review this decision and to determine what further action it wishes to take.

# **Background Papers**

None

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Scrutiny Board Procedure Rules Paragraph 22

This page is intentionally left blank

# CALL IN REQUEST - Option (a)

A Call in request may be made by:

- a) Any five non-executive Members of council, OR
- b) two non-executive Members of council if they are not from the same political group<sup>1</sup>

Date of decision publication: MONDAY 7 DECENSER 2005

	ted decision ref: INNEL WORTH EAST AREA COMMITTER OF	
Executi	ve Board Minute no:or	
Area Co	ommittee Name and decision ref: 13 2804/10 3(tit)	l
Decisio	n description: RADIO FEVER - COMMUNITY NOICES - ZIZ DOD	
REVENI	UE - MEMBELS JOTED IN EARLOS OF OPTION 3 ( AS 10 ENTIFIED IN	
	IX C OF THE REPORT) TO APPROVE Z8950 REVEWVE KINDING	
.411.44.8.	TO COP THE REPORT) TO MITTONE E 9750 REVENUE PUDICING	
	s for Call In: ests for Call In must detail why, in the opinion of the signatories, the decision	
was not	taken in accordance with the principles set out in Article 13 of the Council	
constitut	tion (decision making) (principles of decision making) or where relevant issues	
do not a		
uo not a	ppear to be taken into consideration. Please tick the relevant box(es) and	
give an	ppear to be taken into consideration. Please tick the relevant box(es) and explanation.	
give an	ppear to be taken into consideration. Please tick the relevant box(es) and explanation.  Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)	
give an	ppear to be taken into consideration. Please tick the relevant box(es) and explanation.  Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers	
give an	Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers  Respect for human rights	
give an	Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers  Respect for human rights  A presumption in favour of openness	
give an	ppear to be taken into consideration. Please tick the relevant box(es) and explanation.  Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers  Respect for human rights  A presumption in favour of openness  Clarity of aims and desired outcomes	
give an	ppear to be taken into consideration. Please tick the relevant box(es) and explanation.  Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome) Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers Respect for human rights A presumption in favour of openness Clarity of aims and desired outcomes An explanation of the options considered and details of the reasons for the decision	
give an	ppear to be taken into consideration. Please tick the relevant box(es) and explanation.  Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers  Respect for human rights  A presumption in favour of openness  Clarity of aims and desired outcomes	
give an	Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers  Respect for human rights  A presumption in favour of openness  Clarity of aims and desired outcomes  An explanation of the options considered and details of the reasons for the decision  Positive promotion of equal opportunities  Natural justice  tion .!\( \omega \in Fericaga \in Feric	<i>inal</i>
Explanat	Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers  Respect for human rights  A presumption in favour of openness  Clarity of aims and desired outcomes  An explanation of the options considered and details of the reasons for the decision  Positive promotion of equal opportunities  Natural justice  tion .!WEVFF.CIENT. EXPLANATION OF FALURE TO REQUEST FUNDING FRAME.  AND. REFLICE BY	1 N N E
Explanat	Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers  Respect for human rights  A presumption in favour of openness  Clarity of aims and desired outcomes  An explanation of the options considered and details of the reasons for the decision  Positive promotion of equal opportunities  Natural justice  tion .!\( \omega \in Fericaga \in Feric	INN E
Explanat	Proportionality (ie the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome)  Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers  Respect for human rights  A presumption in favour of openness  Clarity of aims and desired outcomes  An explanation of the options considered and details of the reasons for the decision  Positive promotion of equal opportunities  Natural justice  tion .!WEVFF.CIENT. EXPLANATION OF FALURE TO REQUEST FUNDING FRAME.  AND. REFLICE BY	1 N W E

A Member cannot count as one of the two / five signatures if they are a member of the Scrutiny Board to which the Call In will be referred. In the case of decisions made by Area Committees, a Member cannot count as one of the two / five signatures if they are a member of that Area Committee.

# Leeds City Council Scrutiny Support Unit

The following signatories request that the above decision be called in:

1) Signature
Print name LD PRYKE
2) Signature
Print name G LATTY
3) Signature
Print name
4) Signature
Print name
5) Signature
Print name

This form should be submitted to the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development (Scrutiny Support Unit, 1st Floor West, Civic Hall) by **5.00pm on the fifth working day after the decision publication date**. The office is open from 9.00am to 5.00pm.

(For further information on the Call In procedure please refer to the Scrutiny Support Unit intranet site, or contact the Unit on 39 51151).

# Leeds City Council Scrutiny Support Unit

For office use only: (	box A)		
Received on behal	$1 \wedge$		mber Development by:(signature)
Date:16   12   0	<u>,</u>	Time:16 \$5	SSU ref: 2009/10/13/39
For office use only: (b	ox B)		
Exemption status checked:	7	Call In authorised: Ye	eg/No
Date checked:		Call In authorised: Yes	$\mathcal{V}$
Signatures checked:		Date: 16.12-0	a.
Receipts given:			
Validity re article 13			
Receipt details:		•••••••••••••	

This page is intentionally left blank



Originator: Sharon Hughes

Tel: 214 5898

# Report of the East North East Area Manager

North East (Inner) Area Committee

Date: 7<sup>th</sup> December 2009

Subject: Well-Being Budget

Electoral Wards Affected: Chapel Allerton Moortown Roundhay  Ward members consulted (referred to in this report)	Specific Implications For:  Equality and Diversity  Community Cohesion  Narrowing the Gap
Council Delegated Executive Function Function available for Call In	Delegated Executive Function not available for Call In Details set out in the report

# **Executive Summary**

This report contains details of proposed projects/activities to deliver local actions relating to agreed themes and outcomes of the Area Delivery Plan. The projects have been discussed at a meeting of Area Committee's Member Well-Being Group and their recommendations are included for noting and/or approving.

The latest financial position of the Wellbeing (revenue and capital) budget are also provided as appendix A and B.

# **Purpose of this report**

- The purpose of this report is to update the Area Committee on project applications/proposals that have been discussed with the Member Working Group since the last Area Committee meeting and to seek approval of their recommendations where relevant.
- 2. The latest financial position of the revenue Wellbeing budgets is provided to assist the decision making, attached as appendix A (includes Small Grants scheme) and capital as appendix B.

# **Background**

- 3. At the Special Meeting in August 2008, the Area Committee agreed to split the Well-Being Fund between the strategic themes identified in the Area Delivery Plan, as well as retaining an element of funding for ward based projects and continuation of the Small Grants scheme.
- 4. The spending decisions made to date against each heading are summarised in appendix A.

The applications presented were considered by the Area Committee in June 2009 and it was requested that additional information be provided in relation to each for them to be reconsidered at this meeting.

# **Applications**

5. The Area Management team undertake rigorous checks and take relevant professional advise on financial accounts, CRB checks, constitutional documents and other related documentation for all applications to ensure that safeguarding and financial regulations are adhered to.

# **Project/Activity Proposals:**

## **Moortown RUFC**

Children's Hall refurbishment - £2,200 revenue (health & wellbeing)

- 6. This application is to metal clad the back and side of the mini-junior players hall where the original material, fitted in 1942, has started to come away. If this is not done soon it may result in the sides being breached and the club state that this would result in them having to close.
- 7. Despite being located in the Alwoodley ward the club do get good attendance from the Moortown ward, although the funding application has been split 50/50 with the Outer North east area committee with each area committee being asked for £2,200.
- 8. The rest of the funding (£2,600) will come from fundraising that has already been done by the club over the last two years. There is very little maintenance needed with the proposed new walling and they state that it should last 25 years.
- 9. This project will assist in achieving priority A1 to enable more people to be involved in sport and culture by providing better quality and wider ranging activities and facilities.

10. The Well being Fund Working Group was supportive of the project and recommended that the full amount of £2,200 be approved to Moortown RUFC to administer.

# Roundhay Allotments and Garden Association Roundhay Allotment Extension - £3,000 revenue (stronger communities)

- 11. Planning approval was gained in 2006 to extend the current allotment site to provide 11 extra quarter plots. In order to fulfil this the boundary fence on the south side of the site needs to be moved to allow for the expansion.
- 12. The allotments society currently have a waiting list and the additional plots would reduce this list.
- 13. The full cost of the project is £6,800 with £3,000 being requested from the Area Committee to match fund. Parks and Countryside have agreed to do the work at their price quoted in 2006 and put £3,000 toward the cost of the project. The shortfall of £800 would be made up from the Roundhay Allotments and Garden Association funds.
- 14. The allotment users come from various postcodes, but the large majority are from within the Inner North East area.
- 15. The Well being Fund Working Group was supportive of the project and recommended that the full amount of £3,000 be approved to Roundhay Allotments and Garden Association to administer.
- 16. This project will assist in achieving priority E3a Improved environmental appearance of inner north east and priority A1b to improve the facilities and condition of parks and open spaces to encourage greater use by residents.

## Radio Fever

# **Community Voices - £12,000 Revenue (Stronger Communities)**

- 17. This bid initially came to the October Area Committee and £8,000 was approved subject to agreement by the Inner East Area Committee match funding. At the October meeting of the Inner East Area Committee funding was not approved.
- 18. It was requested by the Committee that the application be reconsidered given the above decision by the working group to re-evaluate how the project could still be delivered.
- 19. Following a meeting with Radio Fever it was agreed that a number of options would be brought back to the working group for discussion and make a recommendation to the Area Committee.
- 20. Radio Fever presented a number of options of how the project could be delivered on a sliding scale of reduced funding that the working group considered, copy of the option proposed is attached as appendix C.
- 21. Members of the working group were supportive of ensuring that the project went ahead and that there was no detrimental effect on the Tudor Trust funding. Radio

Fever agreed that the outreach worker post, if funded, would work exclusively in the Inner North East area.

- 22. Members were keen to direct the project in relation to which groups in their ward should be supported through the project and be involved in some of this. As well as interim monitoring being provided on a monthly basis to ensure satisfactory progress.
- 23. This project will assist in achieving priority F2 Improve health and wellbeing of residents and priority H2a increase the sense of pride and belonging in neighbourhoods to help build more cohesive communities
- 24. The Well Being Fund Working Group was supportive of the project but did not reach a consensus of opinion on the level of funding to be approved and recommended that the full Area Committee consider the application and level of funding to be given to the project.

# **Pest Control**

Impact Pest Control Bid £23,000 Revenue (Environment)

- 25. The application from pest control is to provide additional investigations and surveys to properties with repeat instances of rodent infestations. The Impact (Priority Neighbourhood) area has been highlighted through pest control as one that suffers from high levels of rodents.
- 26. The project would provide surveys to properties to identify possible rodent access points by conducting a full survey of the drainage system with CCTV and the external perimeter of the properties and those in the immediate vicinity.
- 27. Faults and recommended remedial action would be reported to the property owner for resolution.
- 28. The working group considered the application and rejected it for a number of reasons, the main issue was that members did not feel that the project guaranteed successfully resolving the issue as it only provided information as the cause and not solving the problem.
- 29. The Well being Fund Working Group rejected the application and recommended that it not be funded.

## Recommendations

- 56. The Area Committee is requested to:
  - a) Note the contents of this report
  - b) Consider the recommendations of the Members Working Group to approve the following applications:
    - i. Moortown RUFC Children's Hall refurbishment £2,200 revenue
    - ii. Roundhay Allotments Association expansion £3,000 revenue

- c) Consider the recommendation to not approve funding for the Pest Control Impact Bid.
- d) Consider and make a decision on the Radio Fever application and level of funding.

# **Background Papers**

Area Committee Roles and Functions 2009/10.

This page is intentionally left blank

# INNER NORTH EAST AREA COMMITTEE - WELLBEING REVENUE BUDGET 2009/10

	ADP	<b>Priority</b>	Theme
--	-----	-----------------	-------

Environment	Reference		Amount Applied for	Amount Approved
Greater recycling, cleaner streets/ open spaces - inc purchase of skips, litter bins etc	- INE.09.12.LGR INE.09.13.LGR	Skips Community Payback Scheme Moortown in Bloom and Neighbourhood Design	£2,500.00 £15,000.00 £13,365.43	£2,500.00 £15,000.00 £13,365.43
			Total Committed	£30,865.43
			Budget	£32,970.00
			Remaining	£2,104.57

Page	
17	

DAMP	Culture	Reference		Amount Applied For	Amount Approved
17	Greater use of libraries, support	INE.09.04.LGR	Environmental Playscheme	£6,750.00	£6,750.00
	local festivals, increase	INE.09.07.LGR	New World Steel Pan Orchestra	£13,000.00	£11,000.00
	participation in sport	INE.09.08.LGR	Kids day Out 3	£1,200.00	£1,200.00
				Total Committed	£18,950.00
				Budget	£30,000.00
				Remaining	£10,050.00

Learning	Reference		Amount Applied For	Amount Approved
Better skilled school leavers	INE.09.03.LGR	Bumpy Motorbike Project – TO BE REFUNDED	£15,039.00	£7,500.00
			Total Committed	£0
			Budget	£20,000.00
			Remaining	£20,000.00

Transport	Reference	Amount Applied For	Amount Approved
Resident parking schemes, promoting cycling, walking buses			
	•	Total Committed	-
		Budget	£5,000.00
		Remaining	£5,000.00

Health & Well Being	Reference		Amount Applied For	Amount Approved
Reduction in smoking, increase	INE.08.08.LGR	Tai Chi Classes	£1,500.00	£1,500.00
physical activity/reduce obesity	INE.08.23.LGR	Woodland Trail Activity Project	£3,500.00	£3,500.00
rate, reduce teenage conceptions,	INE.08.30.LGC	Carrib Care - Meals on Wheels	£5,045.00	£5,045.00
increase independent living/choices	INE.09.03.LGR	Baby Reality and Coaching schools	£3,158.00	£3,158.00
for vulnerable adults.	INE.09.28.LGR	Fuel Poverty	£3000.00	£3000.00
			Total	£16,203.00
			Committed	£10,203.00
			Budget	£25,000.00
			Remaining	£8,797.00

Thriving Neighbourhoods	Reference		Amount Applied For	Amount Approved
	INE.08.31.LGR	Operation Buzzer/Burglary Reduction	£5,114.00	£5,114.00
Helping reduce crime and providing	INE.08.31.LGR	Burglary Reduction	£7,831.00	£7,831.00
providing diversionary activities for	INE.09.09.LGR	Alwoodley Activities Fund	£4,000.00	£4,000.00
young people.	INE.09.11.LGR	Inner North East Summer Sports Project	£26,275.00	£26,275.00
	INE.08.32.LGR	Princes Trust Engagement Programme (08/09 to be accrued)	£2,760.00	£2,760.00
	INE. 09.14.LGR	Kick Project	£10,000.00	£10,000.00
			Total Committed	£55,980.00
			Budget	£63,300.00
			Remaining	£7,320.00

Stronger Communities	Reference		Amount Applied For	Amount Approved
Increase in people engaged in	-	Consultation & Community Engagement	£91.00	£91.00
community activities, more local	INE.09.23.LGR	Consultation & Engagement resources	£2,500	£2,500.00
influence in decision making,	INE.09.36.LGR	Volunteer Thank You Event	£2,000.00	£2,000.00
increase in community pride	INE.09.15.LGR	Community Charter	£6,000.00	£3,000.00
and sense of neighbourhood	INE.09.21.LGR	Probation and Leeds Ahead equipment	£2,500	£2,500.00
	INE.09.16.LGR	Chapel Allerton Festival	£2,500.00	£2,500.00
			Total Committed	£12,511.00
			Budget	£35,000.00
			Remaining	£22,409.00
Enterprise & Economy	Reference		Amount Applied For	Amount Approved
Reducing worklessness and supporting	INE.08.27.LGR	Chapeltown Townscape Initiative - Training Project	£10,000.00	£10,000.00
local business/shopping centres	INE.08.33.LGR	Festive Lights	£1,982.00	£1,982.00
	INE.09.06.LGR	Leeds Ahead world of work and professional services	£5,000.00	£5,000.00
			Total Committed	£16,982.00
			Budget	£25,000.00
			Remaining	£8,018.00

Total Allocation	£233,300.00
Total Committed	£151,571.43
Total Remaining	£81,728.57

U
മ
Õ
Ф
$\mathcal{N}$
0

Small Grants			Amount Applied for	Amount Approved
	INE.09.01.SG	Remembering yesterday caring today	£500.00	£500.00
	INE.08.19.SG	Prince Philip Centre	£187.50	£187.50
	INE.08.23.SG	Palace Improvements (paint)	£115.00	£115.00
	INE.08.23.SG	Palace Improvements (paint)	£15.69	£15.69
	INE.08.22.SG	Gardening / Environmental Club	£500.00	£500.00
	INE.09.02.SG	Under 11 and Under 14 Teams	£500.00	£500.00
	INE.09.03.SG	Lidgett Lane Allotment Grass Mower	£499.98	£499.98
	INE.09.04.SG	ENE Leeds Locality Development Group	£500.00	£500.00
	INE.09.05.SG	Mandela Centre Fridge	£449.00	£449.00
	INE.09.07.SG	Carnival Highlights	£250.00	£250.00
	INE.09.08.SG	Beckhills Fun Day	£500.00	£500.00
	INE.09.09.SG	Our Community, Our Vision, Chapeltown event	£500.00	£500.00
	INE.09.10.SG	The Leeds Gathering	£500.00	£500.00
	INE.09.11.SG	Friends of Highwood Community Day	£350.00	£350.00
	INE.09.12.SG	Active Actions	£500.00	£500.00
	INE.09.14.SG	Apna Youth Club	£250.00	£250.00
	INE.09.17.SG	Health on a high note – healthy living day	£430.00	£430.00
	INE.09.19.SG	Mandela Centre 5-A-Side Goals	£140.00	£143.90
	INE.09.20.SG	Winter Well-being Work	£495.00	£495.00

Total Allocation	£12,360.00
Total Committed	£7,186.07
Total Remaining	£5,173.93

# **Ward Pot Funding**

Chapel Allerton	Reference		Amount Applied for	Amount Approved
	INE.09.32.LGC	Chapel Allerton Tree Lighting - feeder pillar	£1,295.00	£1,295.00
	INE.09.32.LGC	Chapel Allerton Tree Lighting - up lighting floodlights	£1,116.00	£1,116.00
	-	Paint from Seagulls for Mandela Youth Room	£35.00	£35.00
	-	Paint, brushes & sandpaper from Dulux for Mandela youth room	£82.11	£82.11
	INE.09.35.LGR	Bulbs for probation planting	£687.00	£687.00
•		Bonfire Period 2010	£1000.00	£1000.00
		Millfield Primary school	£2,000	£2,000
		Litter bins x 3		
		Grit bins - £190 per grit £80 to refill		
		Chapel Allerton planter improvements		
		Extra trembler alarms		
			Total Allocation	£24,197.00
			Total Committed	£6,215.11
			Total Remaining	£17,981.89

Moortown	Reference		Amount Applied for	Amount Approved
	INE.09.33.LGR	Stonegate Allotment Fencing	£5081.00	£5081.00
	INE.09.25.LGR	Meanwood Memorial garden	£6502.00	£6502.00
		Stonegate Road planters	£2,000.00	£2,000.00
		Stonegate Approach stepping stones	£2,000.00	£2,000.00
		Grit bins – King Alfred's Estate	£285.00	£285.00
		10 litter bins		
			Total Allocation	£25,085.00
			Total Committed	£15,868.00
			Total Remaining	£9,217.00

3	Roundhay	Reference		Amount Applied for	Amount Approved
		INE.09.34.LGR	Oakwood Clock Tower	£1,000.00	£1,000.00
		INE.07.36.LG	Gledhow Rise Traffic Management Scheme	£5,000.00	£5,000.00
			Grit bin x 2	£380.00	£380.00
			Noticeboards		
			Benches		
				Total Allocation	£11,820.00
				Total Committed	£6,380.00
				Total Remaining	£5,440.00

Exec Board One-off Allocation	Reference		Amount Applied for	Amount Approved
Conservation reviews/NDS and Resident Parking schemes	INE.09.13.LGR	Amount transferred to Environment theme to part fund Moortown Neighbourhood Design Statement		£2,970.00
			Total Allocation	£2,970.00
			Total Committed	£2,970.00
			Total Remaining	£0
		GRAND TOTAL	Total Allocation	£309,732.00
			Total Committed	£190,190.61
			Total Remaining	£119,541.39

This page is intentionally left blank

# Inner North East Capital Budget Current Position 2009/10

		Committed	Actual
2004/5	Miles Hill Sure Start Centre	20.0	20.0
2004/5	Seven Arts Community Centre	25.0	25.0
2004/5	Gate-It On The Granges Contribution	7.0	7.0
2004/5	North Leeds Bowling Club Fencing	8.6	8.6
2005/6	Open Door' Project - 225 Lidgett Lane	3.5	3.5
2005/6	Moortown RUFC	0.0	0.0
2005/6	New Roof - Roscoe Methodist Church	20.0	20.0
2005/6	Scott Hall Sports Centre	15.0	15.0
2005/6	Queenshill Drive Drying Area Project	0.0	0.0
2005/6	North Park Avenue Allotments Project	3.0	3.0
2005/6	Extension of Community Hall	20.0	20.0
2005/6	North Leeds Cricket Nets Ground Development	15.0	15.0
2005/6	Meanwood Methodist Church Disabled Toilets	7.7	7.7
2005/6	53 Louis Street Disabled Access	6.0	6.0
2006/7	Cowper Street Community Gardens	7.0	7.0
2006/7	Alleys & Ginnels Safety Improvements	75.0	37.3
2006/7	Meanwood Park Improvements	39.5	39.5
2006/7	St Andrews Church Comm Project	12.5	12.5
2006/7	Potternewton Park	5.0	5.0
2006/7	Chapel Allerton Methodist Church - Disabled Lift	14.4	14.4
2006/7	Stainbeck Church Outreach & Development Project*	3.3	3.3
2006/7	Fieldhouse Drive Improvements	2.4	2.4
2007/8	Gledhow Valley Lake Disabled Access Path	10.1	10.1
2007/8	Fencing At North Leeds Cricket Club	15.0	15.0
2007/8	Seven Community Arts Centre	20.0	20.0
2007/8	Deen Enterprises Community Forum Minibus	8.0	8.0
2007/8	Roundhegians Sports - Kitchen Upgrade	2.5	2.5
2007/8	Lidgett Pk Methodist Church-Room For All	7.0	7.0
2007/8	Moor Allerton Sports Ctre - Carpark Imps	12.0	12.0
2007/8	Woodhouse Cricket Club	6.0	6.0
2007/8	Sugarwell Hill Entrance	5.0	5.0
2007/8	Friends of Wykebeck Valley Woods - Bridge	7.0	7.0
2008/09	Gledhow Rise Traffic Mgt Measures	5.0	0.0
2008/09	Toliet Replacement St Andrews Church	10.0	10.0
2008/09	Electrical work at Meanwood Parkside Road	1.1	1.1
2008/09	Meanwood Valley Footpaths and Gardens	5.9	5.9
2008/09	Gledhow Towers CCTV	4.4	4.4
2008/09	Roundhay Park Cricket Wickets (NE Contribution)	7.1	7.1
2008/09	Disabled Access - North Leeds Bowling Club	7.0	7.0
2008/09	ICT & Comm Equip - 208 Squadron	3.0	3.0
2008/09	Allerton Croft Security	2.9	0.0
	Page 25		

2009/10	Festive Lights		33.0	0.0
2009/10	Leeds Black Elders		5.0	0.0
2009/10	Woodland Trail		3.5	0.0
2009/10	Community Hall		9.8	0.0
2009/10	Radio JCom		8	0.0
2009/10	Youth Services – Wii games equipment		1.68	0.0
2009/10	Installation of gates at Potternewton park		4.0	0.0
2009/10	Groundwork – The Bumps, Roundhay		15.0	0.0
2009/10	Streetlighting – 3 wards *		45.0	0.0
2009/10	Stainbeck Church Improvements		15.0	
2009/10	Meanwood Cricket Club fencing		6.5	
2009/10	Nets at Prince Philip Centre	=	Tbc 10	
		TOTAL	573.88	403.3
		_	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

**Unallocated Budget remaining** 

\* to be split over 2 years



-14.98

# Options for Resubmission: to the North East (Inner) Area Committee for funding of the Outreach Workers post.

The application is for funding the revised post of the Outreach worker to work with organisations working for/with South Asian Communities in the main. These organisations will be exclusively from North East Area Committees Remit.

Below are various options open to the committee. All calculations are based upon the original workings put forward for the Tudor Trust Application for the post of the Outreach Worker £21,000

Our preferred option is option 1.

#### Option 1:

Full-time post (40 hrs/p/wk). Post to begin 1<sup>st</sup>. February 2010 ending 31<sup>st</sup>. August 2010.

During this time we will undertake to work with 7organisations (1per/month) appropriately identified. We will do a full one month promotional drive for the organisations, this will include:

- 30sec promotional advert/jingle for the month.
- One 1-2-1 show per week (4 in total in the month).
- 1x 1hr sponsored show in the evening.

For this option we are requesting £12,000 from the area committee.

This is our preferred option as it is the closest to keep us on track with our commitments to Tudor Trust and therefore keep us online to draw down funding for the second year.

# **Option 2:**

This is in essence same as above but with a delayed start of the post from the 1<sup>st</sup>. March 2010 to 31 August 2010.

With this option whilst the package remains the same content wise, we would however be able to cover 6 organisations only.

For this option we are requesting £10,500 from the area committee.

This is not ideal, as it may well affect the draw down from Tudor Trust for year two.

# **Option 3:**

Part time position from begin 1<sup>st</sup>. February 2010 ending 31<sup>st</sup>. August 2010. The post would be for 30hrs per week pro rata.

Again as above with this option whilst the package will remain the same content wise, we would however be able to cover 5 organisations only. For this option we are requesting £8,950 from the area committee.

This is not ideal, as it may well affect the draw down from Tudor Trust for year two.

# **Option 4:**

As option 3 above, however the post would be further reduced to 28 hrs per week pro rata.

Again with this option the package will remain the same content wise, we will only be able to cover 4 organisations.

For this option we are requesting £8,350 from the area committee.

This is not ideal, as it will affect the draw down from Tudor Trust for year two.

# NORTH EAST (INNER) AREA COMMITTEE

## **MONDAY, 7 DECEMBER 2009**

#### NOTICE OF DECISIONS ELIGIBLE FOR CALL-IN

# DEADLINE FOR CALL-IN- WEDNESDAY 16<sup>TH</sup> DECEMBER 2009 AT 5.00 P.M.

Set out below is a summary of the decisions (Executive Functions) taken at the meeting of the North East (Inner) Area Committee held on Monday, 7<sup>th</sup> December 2009. The wording used does not necessarily reflect the actual wording that will appear in the minutes.

- For further information on any of the below items, please contact the report author, or Rory Barke, Area Manager on (0113) 214 5865.
- For advice on calling in a decision, please contact the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development, Peter Marrington on (0113) 395 1151.

# 13. 2009/10 WELL-BEING FUND RESOLVED –

- (a) That the report and information appended to the report be noted;
- (b) That the following decisions be made in relation to the well-being funding proposals which had been submitted for determination at the meeting;
  - (i) Moortown RUFC Children's Hall refurbishment £2,200 revenue **Approved**;
  - (ii) Roundhay Allotments and Garden Association Roundhay Allotment Extension £3,000 revenue **Approved**;
  - (iii) Radio Fever Community Voices £12,000 revenue Members voted in favour of option 3 (as identified in Appendix C of the report) to approve £8,950 revenue funding;
  - (iv) Pest Control Impact Pest Control Bid £23,000 revenue **Declined.**
  - (c) That the following decision be made in relation to the small grant proposal which had been submitted for determination at the meeting;
  - (i) 1<sup>st</sup> Meanwood Guide Unit Girlguiding UK Centenary Event Fusion £500 Revenue **Approved.**

Issued by: Guy Close, Governance Services Unit

Tel No: (0113) 24 74356

E-mail: <a href="mailto:guy.close@leeds.gov.uk">guy.close@leeds.gov.uk</a>

# 14. COMMUNITY CHARTER/ADP UPDATE RESOLVED –

- (a) That the report and information appended to the report be noted; and
- (b) That the new method and format for reporting progress on the Area Delivery Plan / Community Charter, be approved and that any further comments be forwarded to the North East Area Management Team.

Issued by: Guy Close, Governance Services Unit

Tel No: (0113) 24 74356

E-mail: guy.close@leeds.gov.uk